

**To:** Bishlawi, Randa[Bishlawi.Randa@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Letuchy, Alexandra[letuchy.alexandra@epa.gov]; Patel, Manojkumar[patel.manojkumar@epa.gov]  
**From:** lbenfield@foley.com  
**Sent:** Tue 5/23/2017 5:08:15 PM  
**Subject:** FW: Mid-America Steel Drum Company - Section 114 Requests for Extension to submit Responses  
[Mid-America Revised Schedule to Respond to CAA Section 114 Information Requests .pdf](#)

As you know, one of the questions that we are still discussing relates to how CLCM can respond to the questions relating to vendor lists. For the Oak Creek facility, that is question 3, and under the attached schedule, a response is due May 24. For the St. Francis facility, that is question 4, and a response is due June 10.

Because we have not had a chance to discuss this with an attorney who will replace Gary, I'd like to request an extension for the Oak Creek inquiry to match the due date of the St. Francis inquiry – June 10. The remaining questions which are due on May 24 for Oak Creek will be provided.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss.

Thank you –

Linda

*Linda E. Benfield*

Foley & Lardner LLP

777 E. Wisconsin Ave.

Milwaukee, WI 53202

Phone: (414) 297-5825

Fax: (414) 297-4900

Cell: (414) 975-1445

[lbenfield@foley.com](mailto:lbenfield@foley.com)

**From:** Steinbauer, Gary [mailto:steinbauer.gary@epa.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 18, 2017 10:00 AM

**To:** Benfield, Linda E.

**Subject:** RE: Mid-America Steel Drum Company - Section 114 Requests for Extension to submit Responses

Linda:

Thank you for your email. We've reviewed the request by Mid-America Steel Drum Company (Mid-America) for additional time to respond to EPA's Clean Air Act section 114 information requests to Mid-America's facilities in Oak Creek, WI (Oak Creek facility) and St. Francis, WI (St. Francis facility). We're willing to grant Mid-America extensions to respond to certain requests as set forth in the schedule included in the attachment to this email.

As you will see in the attachment, for many questions, we granted the extensions requested by Mid-America. EPA, however, denies Mid-America's request for an extension on some of the questions, particularly for requests for documents that should be readily available to Mid-America. In addition, EPA believes that the requested extension of 90 days to respond to some of the questions is unacceptable. Nonetheless, we are willing to provide Mid-America with thirty (30) additional days, for a total of 60 days, to respond to certain questions.

Finally, with respect to question 20 in the request to the St. Francis facility, we ask that Mid-America schedule the date for this testing in advance of the meeting. In addition, we would like the suggested meeting between EPA, WDNR, Mid-America, and its consultant to occur as soon

as possible, and ideally, well before May 10th. Please provide days and times that work for everyone for this meeting.

I look forward to hearing from you regarding the meeting. Please do not hesitate to call me with any questions.

Thanks,

Gary

Gary Steinbauer  
Associate Regional Counsel  
Office of Regional Counsel  
U.S. EPA Region 5 (C-14J)  
77 W. Jackson Blvd.  
Chicago, IL 60604  
Phone: (312) 886-4306  
Fax: (312) 697-2717

CONFIDENTIAL: This email message and any attachment(s) may contain information that is privileged or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Do not disclose without consulting the Office of Regional Counsel. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately.

**From:** [lbenfield@foley.com](mailto:lbenfield@foley.com) [<mailto:lbenfield@foley.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 13, 2017 3:56 PM  
**To:** Steinbauer, Gary <[steinbauer.gary@epa.gov](mailto:steinbauer.gary@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Mid-America Steel Drum Company - Section 114 Requests for Extension to submit Responses

Gary, as we discussed, with respect to the two Information Requests to provide information to U.S. EPA under the Clean Air Act, directed to Mid-America Steel Drum Company, we are requesting additional time for our client to respond to certain of the requests.

1. Oak Creek.

The request relating to the Oak Creek facility is dated March 17, 2017 and was received at the facility on March 23, 2017. We propose the following schedule to respond to these requests:

A. April 24, 2017 – the facility will respond to questions: 2, 8, 12, 15, 17

B. May 24, 2017 – the facility will respond to questions: 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19

C. June 24, 2017 – the facility will respond to the remainder of the questions, which are: 3, 4, 5

2. St. Francis.

The request relating to the St. Francis facility is dated April 5, 2017 and was received at the facility on April 10, 2017. We propose the following schedule to respond to these requests:

A. May 10, 2017 – the facility will respond to questions: 2, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17

B. June 10, 2017 – the facility will respond to questions: 1, 8, 9, 11, 12, 15, 18, 19

C. July 10, 2017 – the facility will respond to questions, which are: 3, 4, 5, 6, 7

D. Prior to May 10, 2017 – as we discussed, the remaining question 20 requires testing and similar information that has also been requested by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (“WDNR”). Company representatives and its consultant are available to meet with both agencies to discuss the requested information and potential sampling protocols. After that meeting, the facility will propose a sampling protocol for review and approval by both U.S. EPA and WDNR and will implement the protocol, all according to an agreed upon schedule.

As we discussed, Container Life Cycle Management, Inc. is currently responding to numerous requested posed by the U.S. EPA, WDNR, and U.S. Department of Transportation, all of which have deadlines in the near term. In addition, the company is responding to another Clean Air Act information request directed to the Cornell Street facility and relating to the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act; the deadline for responding to those requests is May 10, 2017 and the company believes it will be able to meet that deadline for all of the questions in that request.

We are available to discuss if necessary.

Linda

*Linda E. Benfield*

Foley & Lardner LLP

777 E. Wisconsin Ave.

Milwaukee, WI 53202

Phone: (414) 297-5825

Fax: (414) 297-4900

Cell: (414) 975-1445

[ibenfield@foley.com](mailto:ibenfield@foley.com)

**From:** Benfield, Linda E.  
**Sent:** Monday, April 10, 2017 3:18 PM  
**To:** 'Patel, Manojkumar'  
**Cc:** Steinbauer, Gary  
**Subject:** RE: Mid-America Steel Drum Company - Section 114 Requests for Extension to submit Responses

Thank you –

Gary, I just tried to call but could not leave a voice message. I am available to discuss at your convenience.

Linda

*Linda E. Benfield*

Foley & Lardner LLP

777 E. Wisconsin Ave.

Milwaukee, WI 53202

Phone: (414) 297-5825

Fax: (414) 297-4900

Cell: (414) 975-1445

[lbenfield@foley.com](mailto:lbenfield@foley.com)

**From:** Patel, Manojkumar [<mailto:patel.manojkumar@epa.gov>]

**Sent:** Monday, April 10, 2017 3:05 PM

**To:** Benfield, Linda E.

**Cc:** Steinbauer, Gary

**Subject:** Mid-America Steel Drum Company - Section 114 Requests for Extension to submit Responses

Dear Ms. Benfield,

I am following up with you on your voice mail. You requested an extension to EPA's Section 114 Request for Information sent to Mid-America Steel Drum Company facilities in St. Francis, Wisconsin.

Gary Steinbauer, Associate Regional Counsel, Office of Regional Counsel, has been assigned to this matter and he can be reached at (312) 886-4603. I have copied him on this email as well. I request you to contact him about this matter.

Manoj P. Patel, Environmental Engineer

Air Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Branch (MI/WI)

Air and Radiation Division

Environmental Protection Agency, RegionV

(312)353-3565 Office

[patel.manojkumar@epa.gov](mailto:patel.manojkumar@epa.gov)

The preceding email message may be confidential or protected by the attorney-client privilege. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this message in error, please (i) do not read it, (ii) reply to the sender that you received the message in error, and (iii) erase or destroy the message. Legal advice contained in the preceding message is solely for the benefit of the Foley & Lardner LLP client(s) represented by the Firm in the particular matter that is the subject of this message, and may not be relied upon by any other party.

The preceding email message may be confidential or protected by the attorney-client privilege. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this message in error, please (i) do not read it, (ii) reply to the sender that you received the message in error, and (iii) erase or destroy the message. Legal advice contained in the preceding message is solely for the benefit of the Foley & Lardner LLP client(s) represented by the Firm in the particular matter that is the subject of this message, and may not be relied upon by any other party.